Parallel Physically Based Path-tracing and Shading Part 1 of 2



CIS565 Fall 2012 University of Pennsylvania by Yining Karl Li

Agenda

- Part 1 (Today):
 - Quick introduction and theory review:
 - The Rendering Equation
 - Bidirectional reflection distribution functions
 - Pathtracing algorithm overview
 - Implementing parallel ray-tracing
 - Recursion versus iteration
 - Iterative ray-tracing
- Part 2 (Wednesday):
 - Distributed ray-tracing/Monte-carlo integration, more on BRDFs
 - Implementing parallel path-tracing
 - Path versus ray parallelization, ray compaction
 - Parallel computation, BRDF evaluation, and you!
 - Parallel approaches to spatial acceleration structures
 - Stack-less KD-tree construction and traversal
 - Bounding volume hierarchies



Path-tracing: Quick Introduction and Theory Review

The Rendering Equation

$$L_o(p, \boldsymbol{\omega}_o) = L_e(p, \boldsymbol{\omega}_o) + \int_{\Omega} \rho(p, \boldsymbol{\omega}_i, \boldsymbol{\omega}_o) L_i(p, \boldsymbol{\omega}_i) \cos \theta d \boldsymbol{\omega}_i$$

Super high level meaning: [outgoing light] = [incoming light] + [emitted light]
 + [absorbed light]

$$\begin{split} &L_o(p, \omega_o) = \text{Outgoing light} \\ &L_e(p, \omega_o) = \text{Emitted light} \\ &\int_{\Omega} d\omega_i & = \text{Integrate over a hemisphere in the direction w over the given point p} \\ &\rho(p, \omega_i, \omega_o) = \text{BRDF (Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function} \\ &L_i(p, \omega_i) & = \text{Incoming Light} \\ &\cos \theta & = \text{Attenuate incoming light based on the cosine of the angle between the normal n and the incoming light direction w_i} \end{split}$$

Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Functions

- Defines how light is reflected at a given opaque surface
- Can be extended with transmittance to produce the BSDF: Bidirectional Scattering Distribution Function
- Reflectance models:
 - Ideal Specular (think mirrors)
 - Ideal Diffuse
 - Specular/Glossy (won't cover today)
 - Phong Model
 - Microfacet Models
 - Torrance-Sparrow Model





Reflectance Models: Ideal Specular

 Ideal specular reflection: incoming light and outgoing light make the same angle across the surface normal, so angle of incidence = angle of reflection

- Fresnel's law: defines the behavior of light when moving between mediums with different indices of refraction.
 - Can be approximated with Shlick's approximation.





Reflectance Models: Ideal Diffuse

- Ideal diffuse reflection: light is equally likely to be reflected in any output direction within a hemisphere oriented along the surface normal over a given point
- Think: wall paint.
- Theoretical models:
 - Micro-facet distribution
 - Subsurface reflection





Path-tracing Algorithm

- Solves the rendering equation, which was first proposed by James Kajiya in 1986.
- Generalizes ray tracing to produce accurate, unbiased images with full global illumination. Path tracing allows for effects like soft shadows, DOF, antialiasing for free.
- Potentially extremely slow on the CPU and has only become a feasible technique in recent years due to faster and faster hardware.



8

Path-tracing Algorithm

- 1. For each pixel, shoot a ray into the scene
- 2. For each ray, trace until the ray hits a surface. Upon hitting a surface, sample the emittance and BRDF for the surface and then send the ray in a new random direction
- 3. Continue bouncing each ray around until a recursion depth is reached
- 4. Repeat steps 1-3 over and over and continuously accumulate the result until a final image begins to converge



1 Iteration

The random "Monte Carlo" method that path tracers use means that they can take some time to converge to a final image



20 Iterations

The random "Monte Carlo" method that path tracers use means that they can take some time to converge to a final image



250 Iterations

The random "Monte Carlo" method that path tracers use means that they can take some time to converge to a final image

Path-tracing: GPU Motivation

- Even with a naive implementation, GPU path tracing can converge fast enough to be interactive! Contrast with CPU implementations, which can take dozens of minutes to hours to converge.
- Even more performance can be extracted through the use of spatial acceleration structures such as stack-less KD-trees or BVH.
- Single biggest constraint is memory: path tracing requires keeping everything in a scene in memory at once, which is not an issue on the CPU with 16 Gb RAM available, but can become a problem on the GPU with typically <1.5 Gb RAM available







Current Commercial GPU Path-tracers

- Brigade Render by OTOY
- Arion Render by RandomControl
- Octane Render by Refractive Software

CUDA Path-tracing Demos

• Peter and Karl's GPU Path Tracer: https://vimeo.com/41109177

 BRIGADE Renderer: <u>http://www.youtube.com/watch?</u> <u>feature=player_embedded&v=FJLy</u> <u>-ci-RyY</u>





Parallel Ray-tracing: A stepping stone to path-tracing

Basic Ray-tracing Algorithm

- 1. For each pixel, shoot a ray into the scene
- 2. For each ray, trace until the ray hits a surface.
- 3. For each intersection, cast a shadow feeler ray to each light source to see if each light source is visible and shade the current pixel accordingly
- 4. If the surface is diffuse, stop. If the surface is reflective, shoot a new ray reflected across the normal from the incident ray
- 5. Repeat steps 1-4 over and over until a maximum tracing depth has been reached or until the ray hits a light or a diffuse surface

Recursive Ray-tracing

• The most obvious way to implement basic raytracing is through a purely recursive approach:

```
color3 rayTrace(int depth, ray r, vector<geom> objects, vector<lights> light_sources){
  [determine closest intersected object j, intersection normal n, intersection point p]
  color = black
  if(object j is reflective){
    reflected_r = reflect_ray(r, normal, p);
    reflected_color = rayTrace(depth+1, reflected_r, objects, light_sources);
    color = reflected_Color;
  }
  for each light l in light_sources{
    if shadow_ray(p, l)==true{
        light_contribution = calculate_light_contribution(p,l,n,j);
        color += light_contribution;
    }
   return color;
}
```

Parallelizing Ray-tracing

- Ray-tracing is an embarrassingly parallel problem!
 - Tracing each pixel in the image is computationally independent from all other pixels
 - Tracing a single pixel is not a terribly computationally intense task, there's simply a lot of tracing that needs to happen
- Solution: parallelize along pixels!
 - Launch one thread per pixel, trace hundreds to thousands of pixels in mass parallel!

Parallelizing Ray-tracing

Wait, we have a problem... CUDA does not support recursion!*

*Except on Fermi and newer

- Iterative ray-tracing: a slightly less intuitive ray-tracing algorithm that does not need recursion!
- Analogy: think breadth first search versus depth first search
- Recursive model:



- Iterative ray-tracing: a slightly less intuitive ray-tracing algorithm that does not need recursion!
- Analogy: think breadth first search versus depth first search
- Recursive model:



- Iterative ray-tracing: a slightly less intuitive ray-tracing algorithm that does not need recursion!
- Analogy: think breadth first search versus depth first search
- Recursive model:



- Iterative ray-tracing: a slightly less intuitive ray-tracing algorithm that does not need recursion!
- Analogy: think breadth first search versus depth first search
- Iterative model:



- Iterative ray-tracing: a slightly less intuitive ray-tracing algorithm that does not need recursion!
- Analogy: think breadth first search versus depth first search
- Iterative model:



- Iterative ray-tracing: a slightly less intuitive ray-tracing algorithm that does not need recursion!
- Analogy: think breadth first search versus depth first search
- Iterative model:



• Implement ray-tracing as a while or for loop and cache the current ray for use in the next iteration of the loop:

```
color3 rayTrace(int depth, ray r, vector<geom> objects, vector<lights> light_sources){
  ray currentRay = r;
  color = black;
  for(int i=0; i<depth; i++){
    [determine closest intersected object j, intersection normal n, intersection point
    p]
    if(object j is reflective){
      reflected_r = reflect_ray(r, normal, p);
    }
    for each light l in light_sources{
         if shadow_ray(p, l)==true{
            color += color * calculate_light_contribution(p,l,n,j);
         }
    }
    return color;
}</pre>
```

So we can just implement that entire interative ray-tracing algorithm in a CUDA kernal and we're done, right?

So we can just implement that entire interative ray-tracing algorithm in a CUDA kernal and we're done, right? Well yes, BUT...

 How many bounces does each ray path make before terminating?



 How many bounces does each ray path make before terminating?

4 bounces?



 How many bounces does each ray path make before terminating?

3 bounces?



 How many bounces does each ray path make before terminating?

2 bounces?



 How many bounces does each ray path make before terminating?

1 bounce?



 How many bounces does each ray path make before terminating?

No bounces?



- How many bounces does each ray path make before terminating?
- We have no idea how many bounces each ray path may take!
- What does this uncertainty imply about parallelizing by pixels?



- Remember, in CUDA, we can only launch a finite number of blocks at a time, and must wait for blocks to complete before launching more.
- If some threads need to trace more bounces than others, then potentially a large number of threads will spend the majority of the time idling.
- Conclusion: parallelizing by pixels is one possible approach, but ultimately a naive one.

Thread 1	Thread 2	Thread 3	Thread 4	Thread 5	Thread 6
Bounce 1	Bounce 1	DONE	Bounce 1	Bounce 1	Bounce 1
Bounce 2	DONE		Bounce 2	DONE	DONE
Bounce 3			DONE		
Bounce 4					
DONE				CLLJ	

Ray Parallelization

- Solution: parallelize by rays, not pixels!
- Instead of a single kernel launch that traces an entire ray path, do multiple kernel launches that trace individual bounces!
 - 1. Construct pool of rays that need to be intersection tested
 - 2. Construct grid of colors and unaccumulated colors
 - 3. Launch a kernel that traces ONE bounce and records the next ray into the ray pool
 - 4. Remove terminated rays from the ray pool through string compaction type process
 - 5. Repeat

Ray Parallelization

• With each iteration of the ray-trace, we need less threads as rays terminate! As a result, each iteration requires fewer blocks, meaning each iteration executes faster than the previous iteration.

Iteration 1: 10 blocks executing in groups of 4 = 3 batches



Iteration 2: 4 blocks executing in groups of 4 = 1 batch



• Well, there are a few rare edge cases where this approach does not provide a performance boost. Can you think of any?

First Kernel Launch

Ray Pool: Ray 1, Ray 2, Ray 3

Threads Needed: 3

Result:

Terminated Rays: Ray 1





Yining Karl Li 2012

Ray Pool: <mark>Ray 2, Ray 3</mark>

Third Kernel Launch

Threads Needed: 2

Result:

Terminated Rays: Ray 1, Ray 3



Fourth Kernel Launch Ray Pool: Ray 2 **Threads Needed: Result:** Terminated Rays: Ray 1, Ray 3, Ray 2 **TAKUA Render**

Yining Karl Li 2012

Parallel Ray-Tracing Quirks: Memory Management

- Assume we cudaMemcpy() all of our geometry and materials and other scene assets from host memory to device global memory.
- What happens in this scene on the first bounce?



Parallel Ray-Tracing Quirks: Memory Management

- Assume we cudaMemcpy() all of our geometry and materials and other scene assets from host memory to device global memory.
- What happens in this scene on the first bounce?
 - A lot of rays are hitting the same objects, meaning a lot of threads are concurrently trying to access the same places in global memory!



Parallel Ray-Tracing Quirks: Memory Management

- Possible Solutions:
 - In distributed raytracing scenarios: since the first bounce will always involve the same raycasts from the camera, cache the result of the first bounce and recycle the result .
 - "First bounce cache, second bounce thrash"
 - If the scene is sufficiently small, cache geometry data in shared memory.
 - Why might this be a bad idea in some cases?

References

- Tatarinov, Kharlamov, NVIDIA SIGGRAPH 2009 Alternative Rendering Pipelines Presentation: <u>http://developer.download.nvidia.com/presentations/2009/SIGGRAPH/</u> <u>Alternative_rendering_pipelines.pdf</u>
- [Kajiya86] Kajiya, "The Rendering Equation": <u>http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=15902</u>
- Sam Lapere's "Ray Tracey's Blog": <u>http://raytracey.blogspot.de/</u>
- [Pharr04] Matt Pharr, Greg Humphreys, "Physically Based Rendering": <u>http://www.pbrt.org/</u>
- Rory Driscoll's "CodeItNow" Blog: <u>http://www.rorydriscoll.com/2008/08/24/lighting-the-rendering-equation/</u>
- Stanford University's CS348B: Image Synthesis course materials: <u>https://graphics.stanford.edu/</u> <u>wikis/cs348b-12</u>